2–टियर वातानुकूल शयनयानों तथा वातानुकल कुर्सीयानों की पहले ही व्यवस्था कर दी गई है ।

**Strike by J & K Policemen**

*238. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU:
SHRIMATI VEENA VERMA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the policemen in Jammu & Kashmir went on strike in April this year on the alleged death of a policeman iln custody and went to the extent of submitting a memorandum to the TJN Observer in Kashmir;

(b) if so, what is Government's reaction thereto; and

(c) whether it is a fact that the Minister of State for Internal Secu-riy had gone to Kashmir around April 27, 1993, if so what was his observation about the situation and what action has since been taken to deal with the belligerent police personnel?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. B. CHAVAN): (a) to (c) A section of the JKAP Police personnel had resorted to agitation in protest against the alleged death of a Constable of JKAP; during security force operations on 21st April, 1993, in Srinagar. They also presented a memorandum at the office of U.N. Observers in Srinagar. Their main demands were: to take action against the Senior Supdt. of Police, Srinagar; permission for revival of Union activities, which had not been permiMed for Over 10 years; and rein-statementjrelease of police employees who had been dismissed or arrested during the last 3 years.

The State Government had ordered an inquiry into the circumstances of the death of the JKAP Constable to be conducted jointly by an officer each from the Army and the Police, of the rank of a Brigadier and an Inspector General, respectively. A criminal case in respect of the incident was also registered. The SSP, Srinagar, was transferred $t_0$ facilitate the conduct of the said inquiry ordered by the State Government. On 26th April, 1993, the agitating policemen were told about the above decisions, and were assured that all concerned would be advised to give due recognition to the identity cards being carried by the Police personnel and that complaints in this regard will be enquired into at a senior level. They were also advised that 10 members of Police Welfare Association could meet the Addl. Chief Secretary (Home) and D.G.P. on 2?th April to discuss pending service, welfare and other allied matters and that the policemen not on duty should report for duty by 1330 hrs on 27th April, 1993.

When the agitating section of policemen did not report for duty on 27th April and continued with their agitation and demonstration in an unruly manner, in the premises of the Police Control Room Complex, the Army and Para Milnitary Forces were called in early on the morning of 28th April. 1993. Consequently, the agitating police personnel deposited their arms and retruned to their barracks. During all stages of the agitation, the concerned authorities exercised restraint and the situation was brought under control without any avoidable confrontation coolence. The Minister of State for Internal Security visited Srinagar $o_n$ 27th April 1993 to review the situation arising out of the agitation: and had detailed di«cussinns with the Governor and senior Officials of the State Government.

There have been no untowards incidents since 28 April. 1993 on account of this episode and all sections of the State Police »re back on their normal duties. The State Government has taken a serious view of the

indiscipline resorted $l_0$ by a section of the local police. The services of 79 police personnel involved in this agitation, have since been terminated by the State Government, and 42 persons have been am stud in connection with their activitie during the agitation. A Commandant and Dy. Com mandant of JKAP have also been placed under suspi nsjon.

**Inquiry Commission into Meham Inchent**

*239. SHRI T. „.. MOHAMMED SAQHY:

SHRI V. GOPALSAMY:

Will the Ministei of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased o state:

(a) how many Commissions were constituted to prcui into Meham episode, date of corstitution of each Commission and its terms alongwith the amount spent m each commission.

..(b) when will he terms of the present Justice Sa kia Commission come to an end;

(c) how many t mes in the past the term of Justic< Saikia Commis sion was extended alongwith details of each extension a id the reason for the same; and

(d) whether there is any proposal to extend the term any further; if so, the reason therefor?

THE MINISTER OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI S. B. CHAVAN); (a) to (d) The Union Government had constituted a Meham Commission of Inquiry on 24th July, 1990 to enquire into the incidents in the Meham Constituency in Haryana on the eve of a bye-election in 1990. The terms of the Commission are to make an inquiry with respect to:

(i) the circumstances immediately preceding and resulting in the death; during the $nigh_t$ of 16th| 17th May, 1990, of Shri Amir Singh, one of the candidates in the bye-election to the Haryana Legislative Assembly from Meham Constituency;

(ii) facts relating to the violent incidents in village Madina and the role of the police authorities therein; and

(iii) any other matter connected therewith or incidental thereto.

The term of the Meham Commission of Inquiry shall end on 31st October, 1993.

The term of the Meham Commission of Inquiry was extended as follows:

| | *Date of Notification* | *Period extended* |
|---|---|---|
| (i) | 23-10-1990 | up to 23-4-1991 |
| (ii) | 16-4-1991 | up to 30-6-1991 |
| (iii) | 29-6-1991 | up to 30-9-1991 |
| (iv) | 19-9-1991 | up to 31-1-1992 |
| (v) | 30-1-1992 | up to 31-7-1992 |
| (vi) | 30-7-1992 | up to 31-1-1993 |
| (vii) | 28-1-1993 | up to 30-4-1993 |
| (viii) | 10-4-1993 | up to 31-10-1993 |